

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

STEVEN T. MARVIK, )  
 ) 3:11-cv-00655-LRH-WGC  
Plaintiff, )  
 ) ORDER  
vs. )  
 )  
DR. NEIGHBORS; *et al.*, )  
 )  
Defendants. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

Before the court is Plaintiff's Motion and Legal Objection in this Court's Ruling in Protective Order Dated March 20, 2013 Pursuant to Rule 26(b)(3)(8) etc. (#69<sup>1</sup>), which the court will treat as a motion to reconsider Magistrate's Order #68.

The Court has conducted its review in this case, has fully considered the Plaintiff's motion, and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1), and concludes that the Magistrate Judge's ruling was neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law.

The Magistrate Judge's Order (#68) will, therefore, be sustained and Plaintiff's Motion (#69) is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 29th day of May, 2013.



\_\_\_\_\_  
LARRY R. HICKS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

\_\_\_\_\_  
<sup>1</sup>Refers to this court's docket number.